

Comparison of oral pregabalin and intravenous lignocaine for attenuating stress response during intubation in hypertensive patients – A randomized controlled trial

Prasanna Vadhanan, Narendren Ganesh, Revathy Prakash

ABSTRACT

Department of Anesthesiology, Vinayaka Missions College Medical and Hospital, Karaikal -609609, Pondicherry (Union Territory), (India)

Correspondence: Dr. Narendren Ganesh

Department of Anesthesiology, Vinayaka Missions Medical College and Hospital, Keezhakasakudimedu. (P.O), Karaikal -Kottucherrv 609609, Pondicherry (Union Territory), (India). E-mail: drnarendren2010@gmail.com

Phone: +91 9677633144

Received - 14 November 2019 Reviewed - 25 November 2019, 9, 18 January 2020; Revised - 19 January 2020; Accepted - 01 February 2020 Background: The hemodynamic stress response to intubation needs attenuation in hypertensive individuals. The anesthesiologists have tried various methods and drugs for this purpose. We compared the effectiveness of oral pregabalin and intravenous lignocaine to attenuate the pressor response in patients with stage-1 hypertension in this prospective, randomized double blinded study.

Methodology: Sixty adult patients with diagnosed hypertension, undergoing various elective surgical procedures under general anesthesia, were randomly divided into two groups of 30 each. Group P patients received a single oral dose of pregabalin 150 mg one hour before surgery. Group L patients received lignocaine 1.5 mg/kg IV before intubation. The hemodynamic changes including baseline heart rate (HR) and blood pressure (BP) were recorded in both groups.

Results: The demographic data, baseline HR and BP, time taken for intubation were similar in both groups. The pregabalin group showed lower post intubation HRs than lignocaine group (92.07 ± 10.88 vs. 98.03 ± 10.53 beats/min respectively). The mean systolic and diastolic BPs were lower in Group P than in Group L, e.g. 102.33 ± 13.79 mmHg vs. 120.97 ± 20.55 mmHg and 62.23 ± 9.81 mmHg vs. 79.23 ± 16.34 mmHg respectively. The recovery parameters were similar.

Conclusion: Oral pregabalin 150 mg is more effective to minimize pressure response to intubation in patients with stage-1 hypertension when compared to lignocaine 1.5 mg/kg IV.

Key words: Stress response; Intubation; Pregabalin; Lignocaine; Hypertension

Citation: Vadhanan P, Narendren G, Revathy. Comparison of oral pregabalin and intravenous lignocaine for attenuating stress response during intubation in hypertensive patients - A randomized controlled trial. Anaesth. pain intensive care 2020;24(1): 225-229

DOI: https://doi.org/10.35975/apic.v24i1.1259

INTRODUCTION

Endotracheal intubation is an essential skill should mastered which be by every anesthesiologist. Stimulation of sensory receptors of the airway during laryngoscopy and intubation activates sympathetic system, releases catecholamines causing deleterious effects like tachycardia, hypertension, increasing oxygen demand and increase in intracranial, intraocular pressures. This is called the pressor response. Intubation is perhaps a stronger stimulus than laryngoscopy which may be more noxious than a surgical incision. The isolated forearm response, an indicator for awareness was positive in 46% and 41% patients during intubation and laryngoscopy respectively but only in 23% during skin incision.¹

Patients with systemic hypertension generally exhibit exaggerated response during laryngoscopy and intubation.^{2,3} Stress response is usually transient and is well tolerated in healthy individuals without any significant effects. But in certain population with comorbid conditions like coronary artery disease and hypertension the response can result in adverse myocardial infarction events like and arrhythmias. Abolition of stress response is of paramount importance in these individuals.

Some of the methods by which the stress response can be minimized are by using judicious premedication, performing intubation in a deeper plane, gentle laryngoscopy, avoiding laryngeal manipulation and with drugs like beta blockers, opioids, calcium channel blockers, vasodilators and alpha agonists just before Among the pharmacological intubation. methods lignocaine is the widely used drug. Gabapentinoids, derivatives of gamma amino butyric acid (GABA) have been primarily used for neuropathic pain,⁴ as well as various off label indications.^{5,6,7} Pregabalin is a structural analogue of gabapentin with an additional advantage of having bioavailability of 90% compared to 60 % of gabapentin.⁸ After oral administration peak levels are achieved within one hour. Few studies have been conducted evaluating the efficacy of pregabalin in attenuating stress response to intubation.⁹ We aimed to compare oral pregabalin to intravenous lignocaine in attenuating the hemodynamic response during laryngoscopy and intubation in patients with stage-1 hypertension. (systolic BP 130-139 mmHg and diastolic BP 80-89 mmHg), according to 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines.¹¹

METHODOLOGY

The trial was designed as a prospective randomized double-blind study in a teaching hospital. Ethics committee approval was obtained from institutional ethics committee, and the trial was registered with the Srilankan

Clinical Trial Registry (SLCTR2018/032). Patients with stage-1 hypertension, who were posted for various elective surgeries under general anesthesia, were recruited for the study. Informed written consent was obtained. Exclusion criteria were; anticipated difficult airway, any other comorbid conditions like cardiac, hepatic, neurologic or endocrine disorders and pregnancy. The sample size was calculated based on an anticipated 10% change from baseline values, a power of 80% and significance level of 5% which was similar to studies previous done upon pressure attenuation.12

Randomization was done by using computer generated random tables (generated by Random Allocation software, v 1.0.0) into two groups in blocks of five: Group P (pregabalin), and Group L (lignocaine), each group consisting of 30 patients. Sealed opaque envelopes were used to conceal randomization. The patients were kept fasting 8 h prior to surgery. All patients were given diazepam 5 mg, ranitidine 150 mg and ondansetron 4 mg PO the night prior to surgery. All the patients were asked to continue their respective antihypertensive drugs till the morning of surgery. Group P patients received pregabalin 150 mg PO one hour before anesthesia induction in the holding area to achieve a maximum therapeutic concentration during induction. Group L patients received similar looking placebo capsules. Both the patient and the provider administering the drugs were blinded to the group allocation.

Patients were shifted to operating room, basic monitors were attached - pulse oximeter, nonelectrocardiography invasive BP, and capnography (after intubation). Patients were secured with 18 G intravenous cannula and started on Ringer lactate infusion. Baseline vital signs were recorded. Patients were preoxygenated with 100% oxygen for 3 min. All the patients then received midazolam 0.02 mg/kg, glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg and butorphanol 0.04 mg/kg IV. Group P patients received normal saline injection 3 ml and Group L patients received 1.5 ml/kg of preservative free lignocaine intravenously. The patient and the anesthesiologists administering the drugs, performing the intubation, and monitoring the parameters were blinded to the group allocation. Patients were induced with propofol 2 mg/kg IV. After attaining mask ventilation, muscle relaxation was achieved with vecuronium 0.1 mg/kg body weight and were ventilated for 3 min with a mixture of 50:50 oxygen, nitrous oxide and sevoflurane 2%. All patients were intubated same consultant by the anesthesiologist with over 10 v of experience using standard techniques. If time taken for intubation (defined as time from discontinuation of mask ventilation to passage of endotracheal tube through the glottis) exceeded 30 sec, patients were again mask ventilated, plane deepened with propofol 0.5 mg/Kg IV and procedure repeated. Heart rate (HR), systolic, diastolic and mean arterial BP were recorded at the following time intervals - baseline, just before intubation, 1 min, 3 min and 5 min after intubation, then every 5 min till 30 min.. Number of attempts of intubation and other

maneuvers used e.g. bougie and OELM (optimal external laryngeal manipulation), were also noted. Anesthesia was maintained with $30\% O_2+70\% N_2O+ 1-2\%$ sevoflurane. After completion of the surgery patients were reversed with neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg and glycopyrrolate 0.01 mg/kg and the patients extubated.

Following interventions were performed where indicated within the study period. A pulse rate < 50 beats/min was considered as bradycardia and was corrected with atropine 0.02 mg/kg IV. Pulse rate over 100 /min or a corrected with inj esmolol 0.5 mg/kg IV. Side effects like nausea, vomiting, giddiness or a delayed recovery in the postoperative period were also noted.

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was done using Microsoft excel 2016 with Realstatistics package installed. Continuous data was checked for normalcy using Shapiro-Wilk test and by analyzing skewness and kurtosis. Student's t test was used if the data was normally distributed. For categorical data Chi- squared test and Fisher's Exact test for smaller values (less than 5) were used. A p < 0.05 is considered significant.

RESULTS

All sixty patients screened fulfilled the inclusion criteria. They were randomized into groups of 30 each. All patients were followed up and analyzed. Demographic parameters in both groups were comparable (Table 1). The mean age was comparable in two groups (p = 0.33). The anti-hypertensive medications used by the patients are shown in Table 2. The comparison of intubation parameters in both the groups also didn't show much variation.

The Mallampatti grades, used as a predictor for difficult airway were similar in both the groups (p = 0.068). The intubation time was comparable (p = 0.109). All except one patient in each group were intubated in the first attempt.

One patient in Group P and two patients in Group L required bougie for intubation due to difficulties encountered in guiding the tube through the glottis (p = 0.55). All the three

20% increase from baseline was considered as tachycardia and corrected by esmolol 0.5 mg/kg. Any event of fall in BP to less than 20% of baseline was corrected with mephentermine 6 mg bolus. BP \geq 20 % from baseline was

Table 1. Demography and intubation parameters

Parameter	Group P	Group L	p-value
Age (Years, mean ± SD)	39.13 ± 11.63	42.03 ± 11.41	0.334
Male / Female	10/20	7/23	0.39
Mallampati (1/2/3/4)	4/22/4/0	0/28/2/0	0.068
Intubation time (Sec, mean ± SD)	30.67 ± 2.54	27.22 ± 9.42	0.11
Intubation attempts (1st/2nd)	29 /1	29 /1	1
Bougie or OELM	1	2	0.5

Comparison of oral pregabalin and intravenous lignocaine for attenuating stress response

Table 2: Anti-hypertensive medications

Drug therapy	Group P (Pregabalin)	Group L (Lignocaine)
Diuretics	20	19
ARB [*] or ACEi [†]	9	7
Diuretics plus ARB/ACEi	1	Nil
Calcium channel blockers	Nil	3
No medications/ alternate systems	Nil	1

OELM – Optimal external laryngeal manipulation; ^{}ARB – Angiotensin receptor Blocker, [†]ACEi – Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor

Table 4:	Comparative	SBP at	different	times	(mmHg)
----------	-------------	--------	-----------	-------	--------

Time	Group P (Pregabalin)	Group L (Lignocaine)	p-value
Base line	133.27 ± 28.43	137.0 ± 15.10	0.527
Zero min	97.30 ± 14.24	100.43 ± 11.88	0.358
1 min post-intubation	102.33 ± 13.79	120.97 ± 20.55	<0.001
3 min post-intubation	105.43 ± 14.11	111.17 ± 20.14	0.207
5 min post-intubation	107.80 ± 12.44	107.30 ± 18.65	0.903

Table 3: Comparative HRs at different times (Beats/min)

Time	Group P (Pregabalin)	Group L (Lignocaine)	p value
Base line	87.93 ± 15.17	88.1 ± 14.20	0.854
Zero min	86.10 ± 12.21	88.6 ± 10.02	0.389
1 min post-intubation	92.07 ± 10.88	98.03 ± 10.53	0.035
3 min post-intubation	94.43 ± 14.68	92.97 ± 11.03	0.663
5 min post-intubation	87.03 ± 13.97	87.20 ± 9.69	0.957

was 88.1 ± 14.20 and 88.6 ± 10.02 beats /min respectively which were comparable (p = 0.8, Table 3). But post intubation value after 1 min in Group P was 92.07 ± 10.88 beats/min and in Group L was 98.03 ± 10.53 beats/min which was significant (p value 0.03). But post intubation values after 3 min and 5 min were not significant. patients had a Cormack-Lehane score of 3.Baseline HR in beats/min and just before intubation (zero min) in Group P was 87.93 ± 15.17 and 86.10 ± 12.21 beats/min and Group L

Baseline and preintubation systolic BP (Table 4) in Group P was 133.27 ± 28.43 and 97.30 ± 14.24 mmHg and Group L was 137.0 ± 15.10 and $100.43 \pm 11.88 \text{ mmHg}$ which was not significant (p value 0.527 and

0.527respectively). Systolic BP 1 min after intubation in Group P was 102.33 ± 13.79 mmHg and in Group L was 120.97 ± 20.55 mmHg and which was very significant (p < 0.001). Post intubation values at 3 min and 5 min in both groups were not significant.

Comparative data of diastolic BP is given in Table 5. It shows that baseline and preintubation diastolic BP in Group P was 86.10

 \pm 10.03 and 58.83 \pm 9.12 mmHg respectively and in Group L was 84.77 \pm 11.35 and 61.30 \pm 11.69 mmHg which was not significant (p = 0.63 and 0.36 respectively). Diastolic BP in and in Group P was 62.23 \pm 9.81 mmHg and in Group L was 79.23 \pm 16.34 mmHg after 1 min of intubation, and difference was very significant (p < 0.001). Post intubation values at 3 min and 5 min in both groups were not

Time	Group P	Group L	p-value
	(Flegaballi)	(Lignocame)	
Base line	86.10 ± 10.03	84.77 ± 11.35	0.631
Zero min	58.83 ± 9.12	61.30 ± 11.69	0.366
1 min post-intubation	62.23 ± 9.81	79.23 ± 16.34	<0.001
3 min post-intubation	63.97 ± 10.32	72.43 ± 22.29	0.064
5 min post-intubation	65.10 ± 9.31	67.20 ± 13.99	0.497

Table 5: Comparative DBP at different times (mmHg)

pressure attenuation,^{14,15} still have fewer compared pregabalin with lignocaine - one of the widely used drugs for stress response attenuation. In a similar study done on 'controlled hypertensive' patients, Meena et al ¹⁶ have concluded that a dose of 300 mg

significant (p = 0.06 and 0.49). None of the patients had delayed recovery or undue sedation during the study period. None of the patients required any additional drugs for hemodynamic fluctuations.

DISCUSSION

Hypertensive patients develop variety of adverse cardiovascular events during or immediately after endotracheal intubation. A higher rate-pressure product immediately before tracheal intubation has been associated with significant increase, and may result in myocardial ischemia after or during intubation.13 Various drugs have been used to minimize stress response during intubation. Most drugs act on the autonomic system and decreases the HR, BP and sometimes myocardial contractility. The decrease in cardiac output may not be desirable in all patients. Pregabalin with its different action on voltage gated calcium channels decreases the release of excitatory neurotransmitters. The anti-anxiety and analgesic action might be useful in the perioperative period. In our study all patients demonstrated effective hemodynamic attenuation in terms of HR and BP with significantly lower HR values at 1 min after intubation. (p = 0.035). Similarly, the systolic and diastolic BP were also lower in the pregabalin group than the lignocaine group at 1min post intubation, which was highly significant (p < 0.001). Few studies have compared oral pregabalin with placebo for

pregabalin produced better pressure attenuation than 150 mg. However, the authors have not defined controlled hypertension and degree of sedation was significantly higher preoperatively in the 300 mg group, which may not be always desirable. A dose of 150 mg pregabalin has been shown to produce better pressure attenuation than 75 mg.¹⁷

Various factors can influence the degree of stress response to intubation - baseline parameters, smoothness of intubation or the lack of it, plane of anesthesia to name a few. All these parameters are accounted for and were comparable in both groups. The HR at one min after intubation was significantly lower in the pregabalin group as compared to lignocaine. Lignocaine has been shown to produce lesser decreases in HR when compared to fentanyl when used for pressure attenuation.¹⁸ Similarly, the systolic, diastolic and mean BP were significantly lower in pregabalin group at 1 min after intubation when compared to lignocaine. Our results agree with the study done by Eren et al where 150 mg pregabalin produced effective attenuation pressure than placebo in normotensive individuals.¹⁵ In contrast, in a study comparing pregabalin and lignocaine in normotensive patients, Talikoti et al, concluded that lignocaine achieved lesser increases in HR and systolic pressures but pregabalin controlled the diastolic pressure better.¹⁹ Our study group included hypertensive individuals, who were on various antihypertensive drugs which might be the response for the discrepancy. Diuretics, angiotensin receptor blockers, beta blockers,

(which varied from recently diagnosed to more than 1 year) among the study groups, small

sample size and inclusion of only stage-1

hypertension. The results may not be valid to patients with higher and uncontrolled BP and

older age groups. Even though numerous studies

evaluating pregabalin for pressure attenuation

exist, they suffer from lack of standardization,

uniformity of patient profile and varied control

groups to name a few. A systematic review and

meta-analysis of available studies would enable

us to use the drug routinely in clinical practice. Further studies evaluating the drug in more

Oral pregabalin 150 mg is more effective to

minimize pressure response to intubation in

patients with stage-1 hypertension when

In conclusion pregabalin 150 mg PO and

lignocaine 1.5 mg/kg IV, both can be used to

attenuate pressor response during intubation in

pregabalin is comparatively more effective in

Conflict of interest: None declared by the authors.

PV – Concept, supervision, manuscript preparation NG – Study design, data analysis, literature search

RP - Data collection, resource management,

compared to lignocaine 1.5 mg/kg IV.

severe hypertensive patients are warranted.

CONCLUSION

patients with stage-1

Authors' contribution:

this regard.

implementation

calcium channel blockers are the commonly used drugs to treat hypertension. These drugs reduce BP by different mechanisms and have varied effects on the HR. This might explain the discrepancy in HR and pressure attenuation among the study drugs. Pressure attenuation technique acts upon the airway receptors, central nervous system or the peripheral autonomic system. While lignocaine produces a direct myocardial depressant effect, a peripheral vasodilating effect and finally an effect on transmission,²⁰ synaptic pregabalin predominantly acts in the central nervous system diminishing the sympathetic outflow and hence blocks the response at a more central site when compared to beta blockers. Pregabalin treatment has been shown to cause lower exercise induced HR, BP and perceived exertion in fibromyalgia patients, indicating a beneficial stress.²¹ hemodynamic profile during neuromuscular Lignocaine can potentiate blockade and delay recovery, whereas the sedative effects of pregabalin might cause the same. None of our patients had delayed recovery.

The strengths of the study are inclusion of patients with hypertension, standardization of intubation procedure and rigorous statistical analysis.

LIMITATIONS

Some of the limitations of our study include our inability to standardize the anti-hypertensive medications and duration of hypertension

REFERENCES

- Zand F, Hadavi SMR, Chohedri A, Sabetian P. Survey on the adequacy of depth of anaesthesia with bispectral index and isolated forearm technique in elective Caesarean section under general anaesthesia with sevoflurane. Br J Anaesth 2014 Feb 13;112(5):871–8. [PubMed] DOI: <u>10.1093/bja/aet483</u>.
- Nishikawa K, Omote K, Kawana S, Namiki A. A comparison of hemodynamic changes after endotracheal intubation by using the lightwand device and the laryngoscope in normotensive and

 hypertensive
 patients.
 Anesth

 Analg 2000
 May;90(5):1203-7.
 [PubMed]
 DOI: 10.1097/0000539-200005000-00038

- Low JM, Harvey JT, Prys-Roberts 3. Dagnino J. Studies C. of anaesthesia in relation to hypertension. VII: Adrenergic responses to laryngoscopy. Br J Anaesth 1986 May;58(5):471-7. [PubMed]
- Tremont-Lukats IW, Megeff C, Backonja MM. Anticonvulsants for neuropathic pain syndromes:

 mechanisms of action and place in therapy.
 Clin
 J
 Pain

 2000;16(2):1029–52.
 [PubMed]

 DOI:
 10.2165/00003495

 200060050-00005

hypertension,

but

 Goodman CW, Brett AS. A Clinical Overview of Off-label Use of Gabapentinoid Drugs. JAMA Intern Med. 2019;179(5):695-701. [PubMed] DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.0086

 Ban VS, Bhoja R, McDonagh DL. Multimodal analgesia for craniotomy. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2019 ;32(5):592-599. [PubMed] Comparison of oral pregabalin and intravenous lignocaine

DOI:

10.1097/ACO.000000000000766

- 7. Rascón-Martínez DM. Guzmán-Sánchez JA. Corral-Urdapilleta NP. Arguelles-Uribe GD, Velázguez-Loeza J, Soto-Palma G, et al. Gabapentine 300 mg vs. 450 mg as premedication anesthetic for reactive hypertension, anxiety and analgesia. Gac Med Mex 2018;154(1):54-61. [PubMed] [Free Full Text DOI: 10.24875/GMM.17002796.
- Stewart B, Kugler A, Thompson P, Bockbrader H. A saturable transport mechanism in the intestinal absorption of gabapentin is the underlying cause of the lack of proportionality between increasing dose and drug levels in plasma. Pharm Res. 1993;10(2):276–81. [PubMed]
- Gupta K, Bansal P, Gupta PK, Singh YP. Pregabalin premedication

 A new treatment option for hemodynamic stability during general anaesthesia A prospective study. Anesth Essays Res. 2011;5(1):57-62. [PubMed] [Free Full Text] DOI: <u>10.4103/0259-1162.84192.</u>
- Waikar C, Singh J, Gupta D, Agrawal A. Comparative Study of Oral Gabapentin, Pregabalin, and Clonidine as Premedication for Anxiolysis, Sedation, and Attenuation of Pressor Response to Endotracheal Intubation. Anesth Essays Res 2017;11(3):558-560.
 [PubMed] [Free Full Text] DOI: 10.4103/aer.AER 34 17.
- 11. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Himmelfarb CD, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/ APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood

Pressure in Adults: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Hypertension 2018;71(6):1269–324. [PubMed] [Free Full Text] DOI: 10.1161/HYP.000000000000066.

- 12. Sulaiman S, Karthekeyan RB, Vakamudi K, Sundar AS, Ravullapalli H, Gandham R. The effects of dexmedetomidine on attenuation of stress response to endotracheal intubation in patients undergoing elective off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. Ann Card Anaesth. 2012;15:39-43. [PubMed] DOI: <u>10.4103/0971-9784.91480</u>
- Edwards ND, Alford AM, Dobson PMS, Peacock JE, Reilly CS. Myocardial ischaemia during tracheal intubation and extubation. Br J Anaesth. 1994; Oct;73(4):537-9. [PubMed]
- Singh D, Yadav JS, Jamuda BK, Singh P. Oral pregabalin as premedication on anxiolysis and stress response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A randomized double-blind study. Anesth Essays Res. 2019;13:97-104. [PubMed] [Free Full Text] DOI: 10.4103/aer.AER 12_19
- Eren G, Kozanhan B, Hergunsel O, Bilgin U, Demir G, et al. Pregabalin blunts cardiovascular response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. J Anesthesiol Reanimation. 2008;7:82-87.
- Meena R, Meena K, Prakash S . Study of Attenuation of Cardiovascular Response during Laryngoscopy and Intubation Using Two Different Doses of Pregabalin as Premedication in Controlled Hypertensive Patients-A RCT. J

* * *

Anesth Clin Res. 2016;7:607. [Free Full Text] DOI: <u>10.4172/2155-</u> 6148.1000607

- Rastogi R, Gupta K, Gupta PK, Agarwal S, Jain M, Chauhan H. Oral pregabalin premedication for attenuation of hemodynamic response of airway instrumentation during general anaesthesia: A dose response study. Ind J Anaesth 2012;56(1):49-54. [PubMed] [Free Full Text] DOI: <u>10.4103/0019-5049.93344</u>.
- Thippeswamy RR, Shetty SR. Intravenous low dose fentanyl versus lignocaine in attenuating the hemodynamic responses during endotracheal intubation: A randomized double-blind study. Anesth Essays Res. 2018;12:778-85. [PubMed] [Free Full Text] DOI: 10.4103/aer.AER 111 18
- Talikoti AT, Dinesh K, Deepak VD, Nanda A, Somasekharam P. Comparison of injection lignocaine (preservative free) 1.5 mg/kg i.v with oral pregabalin 150 mg for attenuation haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. J Indian Med Assoc. 2013 Oct;111(10):692-6. [PubMed]
- Yukioka H, Yoshimoto N, Nishimura K, Fujimori M. Intravenous lidocaine as a suppressant of coughing during tracheal intubation. Anesth Analg. 1985 Dec; 64(12):1189-92 [PubMed]
- White AT, Light KC, Bateman L, Hughen RW, Vanhaitsma TA, Light AR. Effect of pregabalin on cardiovascular responses to exercise and post esercise pain and fatigue in fibromyalgia: A randomized double-blind cross over pilot study. Pain Res Treat. 2015; 2015: 136409. [PubMed] [Free Full Text] DOI: 10.1155/2015/136409.