INTRODUCTION

Emergency cricothyroidotomy is a life-saving maneuver that may prevent death or permanent neurological injury in the event of difficult or failed airway management. A ‘can’t intubate, can’t oxygenate’ (CICO) situation is declared when attempts to manage airway within stipulated conditions via face mask, supraglottic device or tracheal intubation have failed and cricothyroidotomy is performed immediately as a life-saving procedure. This scenario may be unexpected and accounts for 25% of anesthesia related deaths. A successful cricothyroidotomy depends upon the correct identification of the cricothyroid membrane (CTM), as a misplaced...
Two methods of identification of cricothyroid membrane

Incision in the membrane risks damaging surrounding vascular structures and delays the safe completion of procedure. However, cricothyroidotomy is an infrequently performed procedure with complication rates ranging from 9% to 40%. Identification of CTM performed using digital palpation (DP) technique has an accuracy of up to 70%. The laryngeal handshake palpation (LHP) as described by Levitan, was recommended by the Difficult Airway Society (DAS) in 2015 as the first step in locating CTM. The laryngeal handshake promotes confidence by giving a better appreciation of the three dimension laryngeal structures and stabilization of the larynx, which also makes an easier identification of CTM. Identification of CTM had accuracy of 63.4% for DP and 70.7% for LHP in a study by Oh et al. Another study by T. Drew et al. showed accuracy of 33% for DP and 62% for LHP.

We conducted this study to compare the accuracy and speed of DP and LHP in identifying the CTM amongst anesthesia practitioners of our institution.

METHODOLOGY

This observational study was conducted after obtaining approval from the Research Committee of Department of Anesthesiology & Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Hospital Canselor Tuanku Mukhriz (HCTM), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Medical Centre (UKMMC) UKM via their letter No. PPI/111/8/JEP-2017-475. Further approval was also obtained from the Medical Research & Ethics Committee UKMMC and the Medical Research & Ethics Committee (MREC) under National Medical Research Register (NMRR-16-2751-33736), Ministry of Health Malaysia. This study was conducted in Hospital Kuala Lumpur from January 2018 to November 2018. A written informed consent obtained from the patients and participants who were involved in this study by a single investigator. The patients were recruited during pre-anesthetic clinic or premedication visit and depending on the place of the recruitment, the identification of CTM was performed either in clinic or operating room prior to induction of anesthesia. Less than 18 y old individuals, pregnant ladies, those with a known neck deformity, neck swelling or cervical spine problem, previous neck surgery or irradiation were excluded from the study. The patient’s demographic data, including age, gender, height, weight and body mass index (BMI), was documented. Patients were further sub-divided into two equal groups according to their BMI; < 30 kg/m² (non-obese) and ≥ 30 kg/m² (obese). The airway parameters (neck circumference, thyro-mental and sterno-mental distance) were measured with the ribbon measuring tape and recorded.

Each patient was positioned supine and neck was positioned in extension by placing a pillow underneath the shoulders. The sole investigator, who had undergone training in neck ultrasonography as described by Kristensen (Figure 1), scanned for CTM using ultrasound machine (SonoSite Inc., Boothell, WA, USA). The landmark marking technique was based upon description provided by You-Ten et al. with a slight variation. The linear high frequency ultrasound transducer was placed transversely just above the suprasternal notch to demonstrate the trachea. Afterwards, the right end of transducer was moved medially to locate the midline of trachea. Once the right end of transducer was in the midline, the left end of the probe was rotated into the sagittal plane to produce longitudinal scan of the midline of trachea. The transducer was then moved cranially in the midline to see the cricoid cartilage, CTM and thyroid cartilage. A 2-cm vertical line was drawn using the invisible-ink pen (Security Magic Invisible Ink Marker UV Spy Pen, China) of which the markings could be seen with ultraviolet light exposure. The horizontal midline, superior and inferior borders of CTM were determined by sliding the 27G intravenous catheter under and perpendicular to the transducer to create the drop-out shadow effect on the relevant CTM borders. These landmarks were subsequently marked with the invisible-ink pen. The point where the vertical line intersected with the horizontal midline was
Figure 1(a): Transverse view of ultrasound showing the arch-like appearance of CTM (green line with arrow), (b) The sagittal view showing the thyroid cartilage (red), cricoid cartilage (blue), tracheal ring (orange) and CTM (green line with arrow).

Figure 2: Picture showing the landmarks of CTM guided by ultrasound scan

Figure 3: Digital palpation technique. Palpation either starting from (a) Thyroid notch, or (b) Sternal notch, to the (c) Cricothyroid membrane
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Figure 4: The laryngeal handshake. (a) The thumb and index finger grasp the greater cornu of the hyoid bone (top of the larynx) and roll it from side to side. (b) The fingers and thumb slide down over thyroid laminae. (c) Middle finger and thumb rest on the cricoid cartilage with the index finger palpating for cricothyroid membrane

taken as the mid-point of CTM. An accuracy box was then defined as 0.5 cm from mid-point, vertically and horizontally (Figure 2).

Participants consisted of anesthesia service medical officers, postgraduate anesthesia trainees and specialists. Anesthesiology experience in years for each participant was documented. Each participant performed both techniques (DP and LHP) on one patient. Each patient was palpated once by a participant. Before performing the technique, a briefing on both techniques was given to all the participants (Figure 3 and 4). Marking was done using ‘dot’ and ‘cross’ shapes for DP and LHP techniques respectively.

The absolute distance was defined as the distance from the mark to the mid-point of CTM created by ultrasound. It was measured using ribbon measuring tape and recorded. The accuracy of palpation was defined as marking within the accuracy box. If the marking was accurate, the location of the mark, whether placed above, below or at the horizontal midline of CTM was documented. The palpation time, time taken from the time of palpation to the completion of marking on the neck, was measured using a stopwatch (Casio HS-3V-1, Casio Computer Co., Japan) and recorded. Lastly, the participants used the 3-point Likert scale to rate the ease of palpation. Options given to them were easy (clear visible landmarks), moderate (light palpation) or difficult (deep palpation).

Statistical analysis:
The study was designed with type I error of alpha 0.05, type II error of beta 0.2 and power of 80%. Based on study by Aslani et al. that showed 24% accuracy in identifying the cricothyroid membrane and the assumption that a 70% accuracy would be clinically meaningful when the laryngeal handshake method is used, the calculated sample size was 52, with 20% dropout rate.

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics, version 23 (IBM™ Corp. Armonk, USA). We analyzed the categorical values using numbers or percentage and the continuous values using mean with standard deviation or median with range. For intragroup (DP and LHP) analysis, Wilcoxon signed rank test were used to compare the absolute distance, palpation time and ease of palpation. McNemar test was employed to compare the accuracy of palpation. A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.
RESULTS

A total of 52 patients and 52 participants were enrolled in the study. Table 1 presents the characteristics of patients and participants. Table 2 and 3 tabulates the CTM profiles between DP and LHP methods. Both methods had same success rate where 78.8% of cases were correctly identified.

There was no statistically significant difference between DP and LHP in term of accurate identification of the midline ($p = 0.999$), time to CTM identification ($p = 0.101$) and ease of palpation ($p = 0.059$). In male patients, significantly shorter time was taken to identify CTM using LHP as compared to DP method ($p = 0.024$). Participants with more than 5 years of working experience found it easier to identify CTM using DP method.

In terms of accurate identification, this study observed an almost perfect agreement between DP and LHP method in all subjects (Kappa = 0.89, $p < 0.001$). Subgroup analysis also showed good agreement between methods among obese and non-obese subjects (Kappa = 0.91, $p < 0.001$; Kappa 0.84, $p < 0.001$, respectively) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The results from our study showed similar accuracy of 78% in successful identification of CTM between digital palpation technique and laryngeal handshake palpation. In a recently published study, Oh et al. reported the success rate of identifying the cricothyroid membrane was similar among the anesthesiologists who performed the laryngeal handshake method and among otorhinolaryngologists who used simple palpation (LHP 70.7%, DP 63.4%). In contrast, T. Drew et al. who included only female subjects, showed that laryngeal handshake palpation was more accurate but took longer than conventional palpation technique in locating the CTM and the midline (LHP 62%, DP 33%).

Obesity and gender are the two commonly studied factors that may influence the identification of the cricothyroid membrane. Our study found that the accuracy of CTM identification were similar between obese and non-obese subjects. This differs with findings from previous studies where increased neck circumference in obese patients was associated with inaccuracy in locating the CTM. In a previous study on the influence of obesity on successful identification of the CTM, a success rate of 3.3% in obese females compared to 26.8% in non-obese females was found.

It is well established that palpation of CTM is more accurate in male compared to female gender. Campbell et al. demonstrated that success rate of identification of CTM was 69.4% in male compared to 19.4% in female. The discrepancy may be due to inclusion of males who have more prominent anatomical landmarks. This was further supported by findings from a large cadaveric study showing that the mean vertical size of the cricothyroid ligament was shorter in females (6.4 mm) compared to male specimens (7.6 mm). Thus, the likely mechanism for this inter-gender difference is the shape and size of the adjacent laryngeal cartilage. Both the vertical height and width of the CTM itself are greater in males which provide the clinician a larger target to locate.

There have been a number of alternative techniques proposed for CTM identification at the bedside, none of which are sufficiently accurate to recommend them for clinical use. These include an approximation based on four finger widths and a method based on skin creases present on the anterior neck. They have an accuracy of 46% and 50% respectively, when performed by emergency physicians with formal training in airway techniques. The combined results of the mentioned observational studies and the poor performance of other bedside methods are all strong arguments for the development of alternative strategies.
We found that the highest proportion of misidentified sites were marked below the CTM. This is comparable with results from T Drew et al. Theoretically, this would have resulted in tracheal access but at the potential cost of posterior tracheal wall and esophageal injury, which has been associated with both scalpel and trocar techniques. Airway access attempts above the CTM are unlikely to achieve airway patency. Devices inserted lateral to the midline are more likely to cause major vascular injuries because of the proximity of the carotid artery and jugular venous systems.

LIMITATIONS

There are a few limitations in this study. This study was performed under optimal circumstances in a relaxed, non-emergent setting. In reality, cricothyroidotomy for failed airway management is an emergency procedure and is potentially fraught with anxiety and error. The CTM identification attempts were done on conscious patients. This is unlike the studies conducted by Oh et al. and T. Drew et al. where patients were under general anesthesia, as in a real life CICO scenario. The laryngeal handshake requires a grip and roll of the cartilaginous airway structures which can be uncomfortable and participants may be reluctant to perform this to full effect on conscious patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Both DP and LHP techniques are comparable for identifying CTM in obese and non-obese patients. However, the time required to identify the CTM in male patients was shorter using the laryngeal handshake method. Whereas, participants with more than 5 years of working experience perceived DP to be easier for identifying CTM. We believe refresher courses should be reinforced by regular practice, because such skills are likely to deteriorate when not preformed frequently. Additionally, marking of the CTM by ultrasound before induction of anesthesia may also be of value in patients on high risk for failed intubation.
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